

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/706,091	11/13/2003	Kiyohisa Tateyama	245386US3	7290	
22850 7	590 04/01/2005		EXAM	INER	
•	OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			SCHILLING, RICHARD L	
	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1752		
			DATE MAILED: 04/01/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/ 706091 Examiner	Group Art Unit
	RL Schil	Tateyana eta Group Art Unit 11ing 1752
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appear		
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DAT
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relef NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default,</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu</li> </ul>	oly within the statutory mini expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro	mum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on2-7-	05	
☐ This action is FINAL.		
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except		
accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935	6 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 21	3.
Disposition of Claims		
		is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s) $6-9,12,13$		is/are withdrawn from consideration
□ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.
☑ Claim(s) 1-5, 10, 11		is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.
□ Claim(s)	- ·	are subject to restriction or election
		requirement.
Application Papers	- Deview PTO 040	
<ul> <li>□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing</li> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li> </ul>		□ disapproved
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object		alsapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		
☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a	)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of t☐ received.	he priority documents I	nave been
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number	·	
☐ received in this national stage application from the Inte	mational Bureau (PCT	Rule 1 7.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:		•
Attachment(s)	r-ia-nul	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No.	$o(s). \frac{3}{3} \frac{7}{3} \frac{7}{3} \frac{7}{3} \square$	Interview Summary, PTO-413
		Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		Other
<ul><li>☐•Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892</li><li>☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-944</li></ul>	3 🗆	Other
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94	Action Summary	Outer

ie

Art Unit 1752

- 1. The requirement for restriction is repeated and made <u>FINAL</u>. The invention of the non-elected claims directed to patentably distinct methods and apparatus require further search and consideration from the examination of the elected claims.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §
  112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to
  particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter
  which applicants regard as the invention. There is no clear
  antecedent basis for the term "the component" in instant claims 1
  and 10. In the specification, adjusting the alkaline
  concentration is accomplished by controlling solvent or alkali
  amount by adding solvent or solutions with concentrated alkali.
  Is "the component" referred to in claim 1 intended to refer to
  the alkali, the solvent or another component?
- 3. The prior art cited by applicants has been considered. Takeyama et al., Hashimoto et al. and Sakai et al. are cited of interest in the art as being U.S. equivalents to the cited Japanese publications. Nakagawa et al. '881 and Nakagawa et al. '545 are cited of interest in the art as disclosing development apparatus comprising photometers for measuring dissolved photoresists and alkali meters for measuring alkali concentration wherein the dissolved photoresist concentration and alkali concentration are controlled to be within predetermined values.

Art Unit 1752

The instant claims distinguish over the two Nakagawa et al. patents by the step of or means for calculating an alkali concentration based on measured photoresist concentration for uniform development. The cited prior art does not disclose adjusting the alkali concentration for different photoresist concentrations to maintain uniform development. Tanaka et al. is cited of interest in the art as disclosing calculating pH based on the measured absorption values and conductivity values of developers.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mr. Schilling at telephone number (571) 272-1335.

RLSchilling:cdc
March 31, 2005

PRINCARY EXCHANGED
GROUP 4160- 17 5